Memory Generation and Re-Activation in Food Allergy
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Abstract: Recent evidence has highlighted the critical role of memory cells in maintaining lifelong food allergies, thereby identifying these cells as therapeutic targets. IgG+ memory B cells replenish pools of IgE-secreting cells upon allergen exposure, which contract thereafter due to the short lifespan of tightly regulated IgE-expressing cells. Advances in the detection and highly dimensional analysis of allergen-specific B and T cells from allergic patients have provided insight on their phenotype and function. The newly identified Th2A and Th13 populations represent a leap in our understanding of allergen-specific T cell phenotypes, although how these populations contribute to IgE memory responses remains poorly understood. Within, we discuss the mechanisms by which memory B and T cells are activated, integrating knowledge from human systems and fundamental research. We then focus on memory reactivation, specifically, on the pathways of secondary IgE responses. Throughout, we identify areas of future research which will help identify immunotargets for a transformative therapy for food allergy.
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Introduction

“They had no fear for themselves for the same man was never attacked twice—never at least fatally”.

This quote from Thucydides (460–400 BC) in “The History of the Peloponnesian War” intimates the critical importance of immune memory for survival.1 The 20th century witnessed an explosion of knowledge on immune memory against bacteria and viruses, a program referred to as “type 1 immunity”, which is generically mediated by CD4+ Th1 cells and IgG antibodies. By comparison, our understanding of protective memory against helminths, poisons, and toxins—the “type 2 immunity” which is mediated by CD4+ Th2 cells and IgE—has lagged behind. These protective mechanisms can be misdirected toward food antigens, causing potentially lethal reactions. What survival advantage might be conferred by a pernicious immune reaction against largely innocuous foods? In this regard, it has been recently speculated that food allergy, with the attendant imprinting of memory, might be an aberration of homeostatic immune responses whose main purpose is food quality control.2,3

IgE is the principal effector molecule in food-induced type 2 responses. Allergen-specific IgE is bound to tissue-resident mast cells and circulating basophils through its high-affinity receptor, FcεRI. Upon re-exposure, the allergen cross-links surface-bound IgE and triggers mast cell and basophil degranulation, which
causes acute allergic reactions including anaphylaxis. Intriguingly, some food allergies such as those to peanut (PN), tree nuts, fish and shellfish are highly associated with anaphylaxis and are lifelong in about 80% of patients. This observation spearheaded intense research over the last 10 years to uncover the immunological basis of persistent allergy.

**Memory B Cells Maintain Lifelong Food Allergies**

Persistent humoral immunity induced through vaccination has generally been attributed to long-lived plasma cells (PCs). This notion has been extrapolated to food allergy, but evidence from both mice and humans has disputed this proposition. For example, in humans allergic to a common fish parasite, Anisakis spp., strict avoidance of fish consumption for at least 10 months caused a decline from >100 kU/L to <3 kU/L in allergen-specific IgE titers, which relapsed upon fish reintroduction. Further, it has been recently reported that levels of IgE to galactose-α-1,3-galactose decline over time in patients who avoid tick bites. Along these lines, allergen-specific IgE titers and IgE+ PCs became undetectable at 6 months post-sensitization in PN-allergic mice. In the absence of IgE production, IgE that remained bound to the surface of mast cells was capable of inducing anaphylaxis for an additional 100 days. However, the capacity to regenerate IgE following subsequent non-sensitizing allergen exposures persisted for at least 15 months. Given that long-lived PCs are terminally differentiated, non-proliferative cells, these findings argue that memory B cells (MBCs) play a significant role in facilitating IgE regeneration and persistent allergy. It is likely that accidental exposures to food allergens drive memory responses that regenerate IgE-secreting cells, thereby maintaining titers of food-specific IgE. As an alternate hypothesis, allergen-specific MBCs may proliferate homeostatically in response to infections or other inflammatory stimuli and differentiate into antibody-secreting cells. This has been previously observed in the context of vaccination and IgG responses. Although it has not been extensively studied in food allergy, in mice co-sensitized to PN and ovalbumin (OVA), sub-clinical exposures to PN alone led to the generation of both PN-specific and OVA-specific germinal centre (GC) B-cells.

One recent publication proposed that mice chronically exposed to house dust mite generate long-lived IgE-secreting cells which home to the bone marrow and maintain titers of allergen-specific IgE. In the same study, IgE+ PCs were detected in the bone marrow of cat allergic patients, but the longevity of these cells is not known. In patients avoiding food allergens, exposures are thought to be rare and therefore do not likely provide the chronic stimulus required for these observations. Additional research is required to understand the importance of this axis in food allergy.

The existence and functional relevance of IgE+ MBCs in humans has been contentious. Current evidence shows that these cells are extremely rare or non-existent and therefore indicates that non-IgE+ MBCs hold IgE memory. Nearest neighbor analyses of human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) IGH repertoires identified IgG1+ B cells as the primary intermediate of IgE-expressing cells in the circulation. This is consistent with mouse models where IgG1+ MBCs facilitated secondary IgE responses. Collectively, this research has advanced the notion that long-lived IgG1+ MBCs are the main reservoir of IgE-secreting cells and, therefore, the foundation of allergy persistence. CD4+ T cells and IL-4 receptor signaling are critical for MBC reactivation and IgE class-switch recombination (CSR), thereby identifying this axis as an alluring therapeutic target in food allergy. Presumably, memory CD4+ T cells provide the IL-4/IL-13 signaling necessary for IgE CSR, but the intricate details of the cellular interactions that occur during a recall response remain poorly understood. It is through deciphering this interplay that novel therapeutic targets in food allergy will be identified.

**Phenotype of Allergen-Specific Memory B Cells**

Understanding persistent food allergy requires a precise definition of the phenotype and function of allergen-specific memory B and T cells. A pivotal limitation is the rarity and technical difficulty of identifying and isolating these cells in humans. For instance, only ~9 to 100 allergen-specific CD4+ T cells are detected per million CD4+ T cells in PBMCs of allergic patients; similarly, allergen-specific B cells account for ~200 cells per million B cells. While studies in PBMCs are lowly invasive, they may not be representative of allergen-specific memory cells in mucosal tissues and in secondary lymphoid organs (SLOs). Still, research over the last decade has advanced our understanding of the memory cells that hold IgE responses.
As abovementioned, IgG1+ MBCs are thought to be the sole reservoir of IgE-producing cells in mice. In humans, cross-sectional studies using high-throughput sequencing of the immunoglobulin repertoire from allergic and healthy patients have revealed that all upstream isotypes (IgM, IgD, IgG3, IgG1, IgA1, IgG2, IgG4) are clonally related to IgE.18,25 IgG1 and IgA1 are the most common IgE-related isotypes in the PBMCs and in the gastrointestinal tract, respectively. It is unclear whether these represent clonal families from a primary or secondary response, but given the high-rate of accidental re-exposures in PN-allergic patients, it could be inferred that isotypes other than IgG1 hold IgE memory.

Reservoirs other than IgG1+ MBCs have not been thoroughly studied, but some insight has been attained about MBC phenotypes in IgE reactivation. In mice, a population of mature CD80+CD73+PD-L2+IgG1+ MBCs yields the majority of secondary IgE-secreting cells, especially those with high affinity for allergen.19 Less mature MBC populations (CD80+/−CD73+) yield low affinity IgE or re-enter the GC to affinity mature, but ultimately were found to contribute sparingly to secondary IgE production.19 In humans, MBC phenotypes are less clear. Classically, the MBC population has been defined by the surface expression of CD27, which has been proven ineffective to encompass all MBC populations.26 In this regard, Glass et al. performed a highly multiplexed single-cell screen of PBMCs, bone marrow, lymph nodes and tonsils from healthy donors.27 They identified 6 subsets of MBCs, some of them CD27+. CD45RB was shown to be useful for MBC identification and, its expression, in combination with that of CD27, correlated better with the degree of somatic hypermutation than CD27 alone. Interestingly, segregating human MBCs by their phenotype informed of function better than their isotype. This questions if the isotype is the ultimate determinant of memory IgE responses.27 The mechanisms that direct MBCs towards IgE+ PCs must be investigated at the allergen-specific level to best target and reprogram secondary responses in food-allergic patients.9

Human allergen-specific B cells have been detected using fluorescently-labeled allergen monomers or multimers. For example, in PN allergy most studies detect Ara h 1- and Ara h 2-specific cells as these are the dominant allergens in 80–95% of PN-allergic patients in North America,28 and Ara h 2 has been reported the immunodominant PN allergen in the UK.29 PN-specific B cells express somatically hypermutated B-cell receptors (BCRs) and form detectable clonal lineages including IgE-expressing cells.25,30–32 Multiple VDJ genes conform PN-binding paratopes, indicative of polyclonal B-cell responses. However, there is convergence of PN-specific sequences among unrelated individuals. This suggests bias in the B-cell repertoire towards PN epitopes that may be immunodominant, especially among allergic individuals.24,30,31,33 Consistent with observations in mice, circulating IgE-expressing cells detected in food-allergic patients were primarily plasmablasts while IgE-expressing MBCs were rare or absent.17,31 Additional studies could investigate the phenotype of allergen-specific MBCs in situations where memory is reactivated, such as during allergen immunotherapy (AIT) or surrounding oral food challenges.24

**Phenotype of Allergen-Specific Memory T Cells**

The direct identification of allergen-specific CD4+ T cells is technically challenging because it requires the use of peptide-loaded MHC-II (in mice) or HLA (in humans).23,34–36 Alternatively, allergen-specific CD4+ T cells can be identified based on CD154 upregulation when activated in vitro with whole allergen or allergen-derived peptides.37,38 This method is simpler and is not limited by HLA haplotype. On the other hand, the stimulation could impact gene expression and CD154 may be non-specifically upregulated via bystander activation.39,40 CD154+ cells have been used in downstream applications like single-cell RNA sequencing (sc-RNAseq) to explore preferential phenotypes among subsets of expanded clonotypes, including Th2 states associated with food allergy in PN-allergic patients.41

Allergen-specific CD4+ T cells from PN-allergic individuals often exhibit a terminally-differentiated or mature phenotype (CD45RO−CD27low/−) associated with poor proliferation upon restimulation and poor cytokine expression.42–44 They also express the Th2-related markers CRTH2, CD200R, and CCR4. Numerous studies have identified subpopulations of Th2 cells which potently secrete Th2 cytokines.45,46 A comprehensive evaluation across allergic diseases defined a “Th2A” population, present only in allergic individuals, which upregulates CD161, CRTH2, CD49d and down-regulates CD45RB and CD27.43 The frequency of Th2A cells increases upon allergen exposure but, provided that these mature cells have a reduced proliferative capacity, this potentially argues that a precursor memory population seeds additional Th2A cells upon recall.
Th2A cells decrease but do not vanish following desen-
sitization via oral AIT, and it is unclear whether the sup-
pression of these cells directly causes desensitization.43 Likewise, while anti-IL4Rα (dupilumab) treatment pre-
vented IgE generation in PN-stimulated PBMC cultures, Th2A cells persisted as demonstrated by sc-RNAsq.21 In addition, Th2A cells have been detected by sc-RNAsq in the skin of dupilumab-treated atopic dermatitis patients for up to 1 year after clinical remission.48 Th2A cells are likely a heterogeneous population because of the variety of cytokine profiles they are able to secrete. Other complex phenotypes such as Th2-polarized T regulatory (Treg) cells and IL-17-secreting Th2 cells have been reported in various allergic contexts. It is unclear how these subsets relate to each other and whether they are a hermetic or plastic phenotype.49,50

Th2 cells are important players in food allergy, but it remains unclear how they mechanistically contribute to differ-
ent aspects of allergic pathology. Th2 cells contribute to late phase allergic inflammation, which presumably partici-
pates in the development and exacerbation of eosinophilic esophagitis.51 However, their precise role in IgE regeneration is controversial. Recent evidence in mouse models suggest that the critical source of IL-4 in primary IgE induction is derived from T follicular helper (Tfh) cells, not Th2 cells.62,53 Moreover, a subpopulation of IL-13-secreting Tfh cells (Tfh13) that regulates high-affinity IgE production has been identified in mice and allergic patients.54 Genetic deletion of Tfh13 cells did not abrogate IgE production, suggest-
ing that Th2-biased Tfh (Tfh2) cells may be sufficient for low affinity IgE production. How Tfh2/Tfh13 cells fit among the heterogeneous Th2 cells described in allergic patients and the role of Th2 cells in IgE production has not been definitively demonstrated in humans.55

**Generation of Allergen-Specific Memory T Cells**

Th2 cells were long thought to be the primary IgE driver in food allergy. Consequently, significant research sought to elu-
cidate Th2 polarization. Naive CD4+ T cells in the draining lymph nodes interact with migratory dendritic cells (DCs), which present food allergens that have been captured in the mucosa or the skin.56,57 Environmental cues (e.g. IL-33, uric acid crystals, eosinophil peroxidase, etc.) during allergen capture,58 or innate-stimulatory properties of given allergens,59 cause DC activation (CD80, CD86, CD40), IL-12 downregulation, and expression of OX40L, which ligates OX40 on T cells. These interactions induce GATA-3 expres-
sion, Th2 polarization, and IL-4 production in cognate CD4+ T cells (Figure 1).5,58 Signals exchanged during the DC-T cell synapse (IL-2, IL-7, OX40 signalling, T-cell receptor (TCR) avidity, and the duration of the TCR-MHC interaction, etc.) influence memory Th2 cell survival.5,60–62 Ligation of TCRs by MHC increases responsiveness to IL-2, which promotes induction and maintenance of IL-4Rα expression.63,64 This, in conjunction with OX40/OX40L interactions, promotes robust clonal expansion of Th2 cells and empowers survival.63,64 Additionally, T-cell clones with high avidity TCRs outcom-
pete low-avidity clones for MHC interaction, resulting in heightened clonal expansion and a survival advantage.44,63 In sum, signals experienced by naive CD4+ T cells during their initial activation influence memory fate decisions, but decisive requirements have yet to be elucidated.

The ontogeny of newly identified subsets such as Th2A cells and how they are selected into the memory pool is unknown. It is plausible that conventional Th2 cells are the precursors of the more highly differentiated Th2A cells. Th2 cells tend to produce relatively fewer cytokines, and IL-5 is mainly produced by highly differentiated Th2 cells.43,65 Th2A cells may gain their capacity to produce IL-5 and/or IL-9, in addition to IL-4 and IL-13, as they mature past the conventional Th2 phenotype.43 Alternatively, the observation that Th2A cells exist across numerous allergic diseases, and persist despite therapeutic intervention, may suggest that they arise from a unique differentiation pathway.43,48

Tfh cells were originally considered a part of the Th2 program according to their shared expression of IL-4.66 While the physiological relevance of Th2 cells in driving food allergy remains contentious, Tfh cells have been reported critical for IgE production.67,68 Tfh cell differentiation is initiated through interactions with DCs (IL-2, IL-6, ICOS, etc.) in the T-cell zone but, in contrast to Th2 cell differentia-
tion, low IL-2Rα signalling and robust ICOS co-stimulation results in Tfh commitment (Figure 1). Activated pre-GC Tfh cells upregulate Bcl6, effector cytokines (IL-21, IL-4), costi-
mulatory ligands (ICOSL), and chemokine receptors to migrate to the T-B border of the SLOs (CXCR5hi, PD-1hi, CCR7lo).69–72 Tfh cell maturation evolves via interactions with antigen-primed B cells at the T-B border.72,73 Here, ICOS/ICOSL and CD40/CD40L costimulation and Tfh-
derived IL-21 retain the Tfh phenotype and allow these cells to penetrate the B-cell follicle to join or initiate GCs.52,71 It is within the GC that the newly identified Tfh13 population has been reported, but it is unknown at what point these cells...
New evidence indicates that Tfh cells are dynamically selected in the GC. Some Tfh cells are selected to persist into a memory fate, wherein maintenance of long-lived Tfh cells requires continued Bcl6 expression and ICOS signaling. The role of memory Tfh cells in food allergy has not been assessed, although this research is relevant given the connection of Tfh cells with B-cell activation and IgE generation.

The priming site and the localization of Th2 differentiation in specific SLOs influence allergic T-cell memory formation. Activation imprints Th2 cells with differential homing patterns that guide their trafficking to specific sites as they enter the memory pool. Memory T cells are not restricted to the circulation, even when first activated in the SLOs, and tissue-resident memory T (Trm) cells can survey non-lymphoid tissues following the primary response. In mice with allergic asthma, long-lived lung-resident CD4+ Trm cells are sufficient to induce airway hyperreactivity. Whether Trm cells are seeded following primary allergen exposure and perform a similar role in food allergy remains
unknown. Nevertheless, Trm cells are reported to accumulate in the intestines during prolonged antigen exposure, and their low threshold for local reactivation could coordinate a rapid initiation of secondary responses which are often accompanied by symptoms localized to specific tissues.\textsuperscript{78,81,83}

**Generation of Allergen-Specific Memory B Cells**

The generation of allergen-specific MBCs is T-cell dependent. Naive B cells capture allergen from subcapsular macrophages, which sequester allergen from incoming lymph drainage (Figure 2A).\textsuperscript{84} Naive B cells internalize allergens for presentation and migrate to the border of the B cell follicle and the T cell zone. There they engage with activated CD4\textsuperscript{+} T cells, likely Tfh or pre-GC Tfh cells rather than Th2 cells (Figure 2B).\textsuperscript{52,53,85} The Tfh/B cell synapse and the fate decisions which follow likely represent a crucial moment in the generation of allergic MBCs and IgE. Naive B cells and Tfh cells interact using a variety of signals, including MHC-II:TCR, CD40/CD40L, ICOS/ICOSL, SAP/SLAM and various cytokine signals which activate the B cell (Figure 2B).\textsuperscript{73,86}

Newly activated B cells adopt a transient CD38\textsuperscript{+}GL7\textsuperscript{+} phenotype that predates GC B cells or extrafollicular MBC differentiation (Figure 2C).\textsuperscript{87} Recent evidence suggests that CSR is initiated during early interactions at the T/B cell border, possibly during this intermediate stage, rather than in the GC as classically believed.\textsuperscript{88} Indeed, even without GCs, Tfh cells are the critical source of IL-4, which suggests that IgE CSR is likely instructed at the T/B border, early in B-cell activation.\textsuperscript{52,53} IgG1 CSR, however, occurs in the absence of Tfh-derived IL-4 and,
therefore, it is plausible that IgG1+ MBCs, the relevant precursors of secondary IgE, could be seeded independently of Tfh-derived IL-4. Furthermore, the precursors of tissue-resident MBCs are thought to be generated early in B-cell activation and IgE+ PC differentiation is also thought to primarily occur extrafollicularly. Then, it is clear that the early stages are crucial for B-cell fate decisions, but the signals that drive these various fates remain poorly understood.

Activated B cells that acquire a GC phenotype migrate deep into B-cell follicles to establish or join a GC (Figure 2D). The GC selection dynamics are intricate and have been reviewed elsewhere. The primary reservoir of mature MBCs (CD80+CD73+PD-L2+) which yields secondary IgE appears to be GC-derived. Allergen-specific IgE+IgG+ cells generally exhibit high-affinity mutations with an enrichment of replacement mutations in the CDR domains of their BCRs, which is indicative of affinity-driven selection. Although under some circumstances extrafollicular affinity maturation can occur, the maturity and high-mutation frequency of allergen-specific MBCs suggest that they are GC-derived. Intriguingly, IgE-expressing cells poorly survive in GCs because, among other reasons, tonic IgE BCR signalling induces PC differentiation. The survival of IgE+ GC B cells appears to be under the control of Tfh13 cells localized within the GC, which drive high-affinity IgE responses associated with anaphylaxis. Ultimately, the importance of the GC in food allergy remains unclear given that GC-deficient mice generate anaphylactic IgE upon allergenic sensitization and have IgE recall responses.

**Memory Reconstitution of Allergy**

Allergen avoidance results in a decline in IgE. However, humoral IgE immunity is rapidly regained upon reactivation of immune memory. As aforementioned, allergen-specific IgE-expressing MBCs exist rarely – or not at all – and IgE+ PCs are short-lived. Thus, there are at least 2 critical components of secondary IgE responses: a CSR event and, subsequently, PC differentiation (Figure 3). In a primary response, most IgE+ PCs are thought to be derived following CSR in extrafollicular foci. In the incipient stages of food allergy, immature, pro-GC IgG1+ MBCs (PD-L2 CD80 CD35 CD73) are established. This subset re-enters the GC on recall to yield IgE+ GC B cells and may subsequently generate IgE+ PCs. Upon subsequent allergen exposures, affinity matured IgG1+ MBCs become the most populous MBC and the foremost contributors to secondary IgE production without re-entry into GCs.

Secondary B-cell responses in allergy are also strictly T cell-dependent. During recall, MBCs likely have a pronounced role in allergen presentation because the higher-affinity BCRs would enable efficient allergen capture even at low concentrations. The absence of CD4+ T cells in a secondary response to food allergens prevents IgE production and clinical reactivity. That being said, MBC activation does not require antigen-experienced CD4+ T cells, but rather naive CD4+ T cells are sufficient, although how they become competent in driving type 2 responses is not well defined. One hypothesis is that allergen-specific MBCs retain intrinsic properties, such as a Th2-like polarization, enabling the maintenance of future de novo Th2 responses. Although naive CD4+ T cells together with MBCs are sufficient for secondary responses in experimental systems, the contribution of naive CD4+ T cells in a competitive environment (i.e., presence of memory CD4+ T cells) remains in question.

IL-4 is a fundamental requirement for IgE CSR. In both mice and humans, antibody-mediated blockade of the IL-4Rα chain abrogates IgE production in secondary responses. Most leukocytes produce IL-4, but a significant contribution from non-T cells in CSR is unlikely. In fact, the use of IL-4 reporter mice demonstrated that CD4+ T cells provide the majority of IL-4 in primary and secondary responses, with some contribution from both Tfh and Th2 cells. IL-13 also engages IL-4Rα, although IgE CSR is intact in IL-13-deficient mice. On the other hand, IL-13 may play a nonredundant role in high-affinity IgE production, particularly in secondary responses that engage low-affinity MBC clones, or naive B cells which in some systems are reported to be the predominant source of secondary GC B cells.

Beyond IL-4, it is plausible that other CD4+ T cell-derived signals are critical for IgE reactivation. In primary responses, antigen-primed B cells and CD4+ T cells further interact through costimulatory molecules such as CD40, ICOS and OX40. In patients with hyper IgM syndrome, deficiencies in IgG, IgA, and IgE are observed due to CD40L mutations that prevent CSR. PN-stimulation of allergic splenocytes under CD40L-blockade, however, did not compromise MBC proliferation. Thus, it is possible that MBCs retain the requirement for CD40 engagement to undergo IgE CSR, but not for PC differentiation.
ICOS-ICOSL interactions are important because ICOS-deficient mice exhibit defective IL-4 production and impaired IgE responses following KLH-alum immunization. Also, in secondary responses, expansion of antigen-specific B cells and class-switched antibody responses are compromised in the absence of ICOS signaling. The fact that ICOS is essential for the maintenance of the memory Tfh cell phenotype may explain the impaired secondary responses observed with ICOSL blockade.

Regarding OX40, OX40L-expressing DCs promote Th2 polarization, although OX40L blockade in secondary responses does not appear to skew the Th2 phenotype. Rather, loss of OX40 signaling prior to allergen rechallenge compromised the accumulation and survival of reactivated T cells and the IgE boost. Whether the OX40-OX40L interaction is occurring between T cells and DCs and/or B cells is unclear.

Forms of non-T-cell-mediated-help may also regulate the MBC response. Notably, IL-9R signaling in MBCs is required for a robust secondary humoral response, though T cells are not the critical source of IL-9 in this context. While the source of biologically-relevant IL-9 is unknown, MBCs appear to produce large amounts of IL-9 during a recall response suggesting autocrine regulation of activation. Altogether, studies of the requirements for MBC reactivation are scarce and further investigation is warranted, specially at the tissue level.

Tissue-resident MBCs are thought to contribute to secondary IgE responses in food allergic patients, but this has not yet been adequately proven. Food-allergic mice have local Th2 cytokine production upon allergen challenge,
which persists when lymphocyte migration is inhibited, indicating the presence of Trm cells. Further, CSR is observed in mucosal tissues in allergic patients and local IgE production is reported to mediate food-induced abdominal pain. Taken together, these data indicate that resident memory populations may meaningfully contribute to disease-relevant IgE reactivation.

Immunological memory within SLOs is better understood. Memory B and T cells are readily detected in these organs and position themselves in strategic locations to induce a potent secondary response. MBCs maximize the likelihood of antigen encounter by residing in the marginal zone of the spleen and in the subcapsular sinus of the lymph node. These locations are rich with CD169+ macrophages which, as abovementioned, capture allergens and transfer them to nearby B cells. Upon allergen encounter, these cells proliferate and generate foci in which they differentiate primarily into MBCs and PCs, but also into secondary GC B cells for additional diversification. Additional research is required to discern the relevant locations of MBC reactivation and secondary IgE CSR in food allergy.

The immune system also retains mechanisms to regulate IgE responses such as the generation of Treg cells. For example, in humans, spontaneous and AIT-induced desensitization to food allergens is associated with increased Treg-cell frequency. Adoptive transfer of Treg cells into allergic mice suppresses IgE production and anaphylaxis. Treg cells perform a number of inhibitory functions (IL-10 production, dephosphorylation of ATP to adenosine, etc.), though their impact on MBC reactivation is likely indirect via CD4+ T-cell suppression. Over the last decade, T follicular regulatory (Tfr) cells have emerged as key regulators of humoral immunity. Tfr cells share functional characteristics with Treg cells, and localize to T-B cell borders and GCs akin to TfH cells. Their location enables direct suppression of Tfh and GC B-cell activity. Genetic deletion of Tfr cells in mice results in heightened IgE+ PC frequency and allergen-specific IgE titers. In stark contrast, a different Tfr knockout model has shown an impairment of allergen-specific IgE production, possibly due to loss of Tfr-derived IL-10. In humans, IL-10-secreting CD25+ FOXP3+ TfH cells, but not Tfr cells, are inversely correlated with serum IgE titers. Whether inhibitory or promoting functions are carried out by Tfr cells in secondary responses needs to be elucidated. TfH cells themselves can also suppress IgE responses through IL-21, which inhibits IgE CSR. Congruently, Th2-associated TfH13 cells secrete less IL-21 relative to Th1-associated TfH cells. The inhibitory effects of IL-21, however, are attenuated by high levels of CD40 signaling.

Lastly, a form of non-T-cell-mediated-regulation may be carried out by regulatory B cells, which are a heterogeneous group of B cells and certain subsets of PCs. Regulatory B cells suppress the activity of effector T cells and promote Treg cell differentiation primarily via IL-10. However, they can secrete other soluble molecules with regulatory roles (TGF-β, IL-35, TSP-1, IDO, etc.) and express surface-bound molecules that enable immunosuppressive cellular interactions (PD-1, FASL, CD73, CD9, CD1d, etc.). AIT increases B-regulatory cell frequency, which may further promote Treg cell differentiation and, subsequently, Treg-mediated immunosuppression.

In summary, few studies have assessed the cellular and molecular requirements for secondary responses to allergens. Clearly defining the requirements for MBC reactivation is necessary for the identification of targets for therapeutic intervention. An insightful approach by which to address this issue would be through computational modelling of cell-cell interactions from single-cell transcriptomic data followed by in vivo validation, as has been performed in other diseases. Moreover, investigating intrinsic regulators of secondary IgE immunity may inspire therapeutic approaches to augment these mechanisms.

Conclusion

Recalling Thucydides: “They had no fear for themselves for the same man was never attacked twice—never at least fatally”. Paradoxically, patients with food allergies constantly fear for themselves as re-exposures to allergens unleash allergic reactions. Thus, immune memory is a double-edged sword, beneficial in some instances and harmful in others. That paradox transcends to research directions and goals. Whereas the main goal of furthering our understanding of immune memory in the context of Th1-IgG immunity is to enhance strength and persistent activation, such as is the case in vaccination, the main goal in the context of Th2-IgE immunity to both food and aeroallergens is precisely to weaken or dismantle it.

Despite recent advances, understanding the mechanisms that reactivate memory in food allergy and, therefore, some food allergies persistent remains vastly incomplete. Specifically, the cellular and molecular interactions...
that take place between MBCs and T cells, whether naïve or memory T cells, and other cells has not been yet established.

Answering this question is challenging because there are substantial knowledge gaps that leave researchers with critical questions. Where do memory cells reside and become reactive? How many phenotypes of allergen-specific memory B and T cells exist? Within the same lineage, what is the trajectory of these phenotypes? What is the extent of plasticity among these phenotypes? Can immune responses in food allergy be reprogrammed and, if so, through what mechanism? Is the persistence of food allergy the result of a failure of regulatory mechanisms (Treg or Tfr cells)? Answers to these questions will not only illuminate our fundamental immunological understanding of food allergy but also contribute to the identification of targets for therapeutic intervention.
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